

A Comparison of Parameter Study Creation and Job Submission Tools

Adrian DeVivo, Maurice Yarrow, and Karen M. McCann

*Computer Sciences Corporation, Mail Stop T27A-1
NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035
{devivo,yarrow,mccann}@nas.nasa.gov*

Abstract

We consider the differences between the available general purpose parameter study and job submission tools. These tools necessarily share many features, but frequently with differences in the way they are designed and implemented. For this class of features, we will only briefly outline the essential differences. However, we will focus on the unique features which distinguish the ILab parameter study and job submission tool from other packages, and which make the ILab tool easier and more suitable for use in our research and engineering environment.

Motivation and Background

The generation and submission of physical parametric studies involving simulations with engineering programs is a painstaking task. The manual creation of such studies typically first involves editing a large number of ASCII files containing the physical parameters of interest. Then, the resultant individual simulation job runs must be organized and instantiated. Most often, the required computations will actually occur on a supercomputer distinct from the computer utilized for creating the study. Organizational requirements will usually require the assembly of a special directory structure on the target computer systems, and the importation to this directory structure of the many files required by the individual jobs of the parameter study. In addition, most supercomputer centers require that submitted jobs go through a job scheduler (queuing system), and thus parameter study tools must coordinate with the scheduler. Supporting these tasks are the most important of the functionalities or general requirements to be expected from a parameter study tool. In addition, specific user needs require in certain cases specific features, without which all the other features available may not suffice to make a parameter study tool usable.

We have developed the ILab tool to address the needs of not only general and simple parameter study users, but also the specialized needs found among some of our aeronautical engineers. We will concentrate on a description of these important features in this report.

Tool Descriptions

ILab

"ILab: The Information Power Grid Virtual Laboratory" is a Perl program which provides a Perl/Tk user interface and generates input files and shell scripts for parameter studies being run on the Grid. (Note that the expression "Grid" is now being used to describe the world-wide network of supercomputers that scientists are using to run scientific problems that involve data sets too large to fit on desktop systems.) This program has an extensive user interface; we already have about 23,000 lines of Perl, and we anticipate about 50,000 lines when all options are implemented. We have adopted an object-oriented approach: all data and operations are organized into packages in order to speed up development and debugging. ILab's "container" or "document" object is called an "Experiment": it contains all the information - data and file paths - that is necessary to define a complex series of repeated and/or sequenced and/or

branching processes. This object is serialized to and from disk, for user's convenience and re-use. At run time, ILab's current "Experiment" is used to generate required input files and shell scripts, create directories, copy data files, and then both initiate and monitor the execution of all processes in the Experiment.[1]

Nimrod/Clustor and Nimrod/G

Nimrod, Nimrod/G, and Clustor [2][4] are historically related tools which create parameter studies and handle job submission. Employing the resources of the Globus Grid toolkit, Nimrod/G performs tasks such as: scheduling of a job, resource discovery, remote storage, and a sort of systems passport (user authentication). Nimrod/G employs the functionality of "computational economy"[4]: this is a process that attempts to negotiate for time slots of adequate size to complete the job. The user can also negotiate resources and make a decision as to when the job can be completed and what the cost will be. When creating a parameter study the user may need to program a special internal script in a Clustor designed metalanguage. Clustor does not support visual parameterization: manual editing of data files is necessary to prepare them for parameterization; subsequently, parameterized input files can be generated automatically.

Condor

Condor[5][8], a distributed job launcher, utilizes idle system cycles on systems in the "Condor pool". The Condor pool consists of systems running Condor software on a LAN, WAN, or over the internet (not behind a firewall). Condor monitors systems for specific user defined parameters such as minimum idle time and wall time. When those parameters are met it sends a job from its queue to be processed by the idle system. At regular intervals while the job is running, Condor sets checkpoints to save its progress. In the event that the job is stopped by the host system's user Condor will hopefully have someplace to pick up when the job is sent to another machine. The primary drawback of Condor is that it can not run parallel jobs in order to speed up the completion time of a project. There are also some security issues to be addressed, namely " ...a sufficiently malicious and clever user could cause problems by doing local system calls on the executing machine." [5] Condor is appropriate for simple process execution, where processes are executed serially but use up many computing cycles. Condor does not create parameter studies.

AppLeS/APST

AppLeS (Application-Level scheduler)[6][7] is a job submission tool which evaluates everything about the system in terms of its impact on the application. This is based upon predictions of the state of the system when the application will be executing. Network status information is received from Network Weather Service (NWS), which can "forecast the performance various network and computational resources can deliver over a given time interval"[6]. AppLeS also does not do parameterization; it is a "performance predictor" tool that also does job submission. APST, "AppLeS Parameter Sweep Template", launches preexisting parameter studies.

Chart/Description

The following chart outlines the functionality of ILab and several other parameter study and scheduler utilities. Clustor, Nimrod, and Nimrod/G are the closest comparison to ILab's capabilities, since they too can create parameter studies in addition to submitting jobs.

Chart Key (i.e., what the terms signify in the context of these comparisons)

Complex Processes : a set of processes where each process depends on the input from the previous process; may or may not involve branching and loops.

Multiple Input Files : more than one file is required for running a process.

Process Looping : the repetition of a process until some condition (typically, a program return value, program internal value, or the existence of an output file) is satisfied.

GUI Parameterization : (Visual parameterization) a graphical screen in which user can choose fields to parameterize by highlighting the fields with a mouse, and then entering a list of values for that field.

Multiple Job Models : the ability to incorporate any of several middleware packages during process execution (e.g., Globus, Condor, Legion, PBS, LSF, etc.)

	ILab	Nimrod/ Clustor	Nimrod- G	Person. Condor	APST
Complex Processes	Yes*	No	No	N/A	N/A
Multiple Input Files	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A
Process Looping	Yes*	No	No	N/A	N/A
GUI Parameterization	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	N/A
Multiple Job Models	Yes	No	Yes	N/A	N/A
GUI	Yes	Yes	Yes	?	?
Programming By User	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A
Built-in Metalanguage	No	Simple	Simple	N/A	N/A
PC/Unix Compatible	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	?
CAD Interface	Yes*	No	No	N/A	N/A
OOP	Yes	No	No	?	?
Plugins	Yes*	No	No	N/A	N/A
Monitor Capacity	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single Job capacity	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Secretarial functions	Yes	No	No	No	No
Graphics/Video screens	Yes	No	No	No	No
Archiving	Yes*	No	No	No	?
Problem-Solving Env	Yes	No	No	No	No
Schedulers	Yes	?	Yes	?	Yes
Metacomputing Env	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Parallel Platforms	Yes	?	?	No	N/A
Globus Enabled	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Restart	Yes*	No	No	No	No
* Under Construction					

Comparison Table

GUI : Graphical User Interface where all input can be done with mouse clicks and/or keyboard accelerators. Typically, GUIs include on-line HELP and error-checking for all input.

Programming by User : user is required to create some variety of job control language script or “plan file”; actual “programming” (creation of variables and/or control structures) may be required.

Built-in Metalanguage : a set of commands with associated options that can be used to either run a program and/or describe input data.

PC/UNIX Compatibility : program can run without modification on both PC and UNIX systems.

CAD Interface : “Computer-Assisted Design” : special graphical interfaces that allow user to describe data or processes by placing and connecting icons or some form of pictures.

OOP : “Object-Oriented Programming” : a program’s data and operations (“methods”) are organized into structures (either “classes” or “packages”) that allow for easy extensibility and re-use of code.

Plug-Ins : the ability of a program to accept external programmatic tools or capabilities that are not supplied by the original program by default.

Monitor Capacity : the ability to visually indicate the status of jobs during execution.

Single Job Capacity : the ability to create and launch a single process as well as multiple processes.

Secretarial functions : the ability to keep track of sets of files, as well as archive and edit the files. Also, ability to keep a record of user operations performed (audit trail).

Graphics/Video Screens : special screens dedicated to graphical processing of output files.

Archiving : the ability to move files to a mass storage facility, and also to restore from the same facility.

Schedulers : the ability to address specific scheduling programs that are installed on supercomputing systems. (Note that this implies an internal list of scheduler commands and variables.)

Metacomputing Environment : specific middleware packages (such as Globus, Condor, and Legion) that manage job submission, typically onto remote computing resources. (Implies internal lists of middleware commands and/or variables and/or status features.)

Parallel Platforms : the ability to generate specific commands that utilize the parallelizing ability of given supercomputing systems. (Note that these commands usually involve the invocation of some parallel library. e.g., MPI.)

Globus Enabled : issues Globus commands to run processes.

Restart : enables a user to divide a job up by chunks of timesteps, so that one chunk picks up where the previous left off. (See sub-section below “Job Restart Capability”)

Below are descriptions of features unique to ILab

Ease of Use

In addition to the efficiency with which the study can be performed, the simplicity with which the user can create a parameter study is an issue. Under Nimrod/Clustor and Nimrod/G, job submission may require the user to write a “plan” file. This file contains two sections: a parameter section and a tasks section. Since Condor and AppLeS do not actually do parameter studies they can not be compared in this context. ILab quickly executes a job or jobs, using a series of user-friendly “Wizard” dialog windows. When the parameter study is launched, it then creates the necessary directories and sub-directories to contain the parameter study scripts, data, and output, on the chosen set of systems which can be local and/or remote.

Job Restart Capability

Parameter studies and job scheduling are supported by several other job handler packages, yet ILab includes options not offered by any of them. ILab’s set of restart options is one such feature. The ability to restart is different from the act of setting check points to save work accomplished. Rather, a restart allows a user to divide a job up by chunks of timesteps, so that one chunk picks up where the previous left off. Each time that a restart is run, parameters can be changed by the user to aid in a stable execution until a solution is achieved. In addition, a restart capability allows the user to execute long simulation runs on systems controlled by schedulers which restrict time allocations.

A restart capability becomes especially useful in certain circumstances, especially those encountered by some of our aeronautical engineers. There are two primary reasons a researcher would need such a capability:

1) Ramping solver parameters. Unless solver variables/properties are “ramped” or carefully steered, the case may become unstable (“blow up”) because of the sensitivity of the flow-solver to such solver parameters as time step and

“artificial” dissipation. By allowing for a restart with solver parameter ramping, stable progression to the solution is achieved.

2) Split up a job to run in segments Another frequent problem encountered is the imposition of time restrictions created by system schedulers. If a job cannot reach completion within the scheduler’s time restrictions, then data could be lost and also jobs will have to be completed by running them in separate segments. The restart capability allows users with especially large jobs to plan around the time restrictions and complete their jobs, despite limitations enforced by the scheduler. This can be done by setting the number of time steps that will be processed in a given segment. The job is then restarted, beginning again where the previous time steps left off. This process continues until the final iteration is reached. Instead of waiting for a large chunk of time to become available, the user can spread the job out over smaller time slots and set the number of time steps accordingly. This process would lead to a significantly shorter waiting period before restart startup, although the user would have to schedule more frequent restarts. The ILab job restart capability automates this variety of job submission. The Restart feature is still under development.

ILAB’s CAD (Computer Assisted Design) screen : Directed Graph

Another noteworthy feature of ILab is its CAD screen. This screen displays a “directed graph”, where the graph nodes are processes in the user’s Experiment. The icon units are : one Experiment data icon, and for each Process in the Experiment, a Process data icon, a file list icon, and a file handling icon. These icons are connected by arrows which signify the flow of execution within the Experiment.

This screen has a dual purpose : 1) User can generate the directed graph from pre-existing Experiment data, as an organizational aid in Experiment creation, execution, and tracking.

2) User can create the graph by placing icons, and then, after entering logistical data for each process represented by an icon, can generate Experiment data and save it to disk and/or execute the Experiment.

The most important functionality addressed by ILab’s CAD screen is the creation and visualization of complicated execution paths, including branching and conditional loops. Branching can be caused by multiple levels of parameterization, or by repeating or splitting one process output to several subsequent processes. Conditional loops are caused by repeated execution of Experiment processes, where the repeat is terminated by either file existence or a process return value. The CAD screen is still under development.

Visual Parameterization Capability

ILab provides a dialog screen, called “Edit Parameters”, that gives users a quick, easy, and intuitive way to parameterize an ASCII input file. This screen contains a Perl/Tk “Text” widget, which displays the input file to be parameterized, and a hierarchical list box, which lists the names of all entered parameters, and their entered values. First, user will select and mark a field that is to be parameterized inside the Text widget; for example, in the input file line “reynum=1.5e6”, user would highlight the characters “1.5e6” by holding down the left mouse button and dragging; this indicates that the characters “1.5e6” represent a field that will be parameterized. Then, user hits the “Parameterize” button : this pops up an entry dialog allowing user to enter either a list of values, or a minimum/maximum/increment (three numbers separated by slashes; when this is entered, ILab creates the list of values.) For example, user might enter “1.2e6 1.3e6 1.5e6”, indicating that variable “reynum” is to take on these three values, in three separate copies of the input file. When user hits “OK” from this dialog, the field is added to the ILab’s parameter list, the values entered appear in the left-hand side hierarchical list (along with a default name for the parameter, that user can change), and the text chosen is then highlighted in a contrasting color. User can then right-mouse on the characters “1.5e6” (which now appear in red on grey) and get a pop-up menu allowing user to edit the values or delete the parameterization for this particular set of characters. Hitting the “Generate” button then generates n copies of the displayed input file, with the appropriately sequenced and replaced parameter values, where “ n ” represents the product of the number of values for each parameter. For instance, if user parameterizes two fields, and enters three values for each field, then a total of 9 file copies will be generated, and 2 lists of 3 values each appear in the hierarchical list. User can also edit, delete, and name parameter values from buttons located underneath the hierarchical list.

Users find that this visual parameterization capability greatly simplifies and expedites the process of creating multi-dimensional parametric studies.

Summary

Several features of ILab make it superior to other parameter study utilities.

First, ILab is “quick and easy” to use. There is no programming required to create or run a parameter study: all the scripts, input files, and directories are generated and handled by ILab, and then ILab submits and monitors the scripts in order to run user’s sequence of jobs.

Second, in terms of job submission and execution, ILab is a high-throughput distributed launcher that can take advantage of multiple processors, so that all Experiment jobs can be completed significantly faster.

Third, ILab has an advanced on-line help system, which has a display, an index, and a search function; this help is available from all ILab screens.

Fourth, ILab’s restart functionality tends to get a job started more quickly, by accepting shorter time-slots; user can also parameterize especially large jobs which would have exceeded scheduler maximum time limits. Additionally, restarting can lead to more stable runs when solver parameters are gently incremented for a smooth run.

Fifth, ILab’s CAD screen is helpful in organizing user’s sequence of jobs, since flow execution is displayed in a directed graph that can be printed and saved, and interpreted into the appropriate sequence of operations.

Finally, ILab’s OOP organization of all data into a serializable Experiment package makes it very easy for users to edit previously created Experiments and run them again.

References

- [1] Maurice Yarrow, Karen McCann, Rupak Biswas, and Rob F. Van der Wijngaart; An Advanced User Interface Approach for Complex Parameter Study Process Specification on the Information Power Grid p.146 Grid Computing - GRID 2000, First IEEE/ACM International Workshop Bangalore, India, December 17, 2000 Proceedings, ISBN 3-540-41403-7 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [2] David Abramson, Jon Giddy, and Lew Kotler; “High Performance Parametric Modeling with Nimrod/G: Killer Application for the Global Grid?” (2000). <http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/abramson00high.html>
- [3] Henri Casanova, Graziano Obertelli, Francine Berman, Richard Wolski; “The AppLeS Parameter Sweep Template: User-Level Middleware for the Grid” in Proceedings of the 9th Heterogeneous Computing workshop (HCW’2000).
- [4] Rajkkumar Buyya, David Abramson, and Jonathan Giddy; Nimrod/G: An Architecture for a Resource Management and Scheduling System in a Global Computation Grid, HPC ASIA ’2000, the 4th International Conference on High Performance Computing in Asia-Pacific Region, Beijing, China, IEEE Computer Society Press, USA 2000.
- [5] Allan Bricker, Michael Litzkow, and Miron Livny; “Condor Technical Summary” (1991), <http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/briker91condor.html>
- [6] Francine Berman, Richard Wolski; The AppLeS Project: A Status Report (1997), <http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/berman97apple.html>
- [7] Network Weather Service, <http://nws.npaci.edu/NWS/>
- [8] Condor Team, University of Wisconsin-Madison 8/22/2000, Condor Version 6.1.15 Manual.



National
Aeronautics and
Space
Administration

NASA Scientific and Technical Document Availability Authorization (DAA)

The DAA approval process applies to all forms of published NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI), whether disseminated in print or electronically. It is to be initiated by the responsible NASA Project Officer, Technical Monitor, author, or other appropriate NASA official for all presentations, reports, papers, and proceedings that contain NASA STI. Explanations are on the back of this form and are presented in greater detail in NPG 2200.2, "Guidelines for Documentation, Approval, and Dissemination of NASA Scientific and Technical Information."

☐ Original
☐ Modified

I. DOCUMENT/PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

TITLE A Comparison of Parameter Study Creation and Job Submission Tools		AUTHOR(S) Adrian DeVivo (Tel # 604-2725) (GSC) Maurice Yarrow (CSC) Karen McCann (CSC)	
ORIGINATING NASA ORGANIZATION Ames Research Center / Code IN		PERFORMING ORGANIZATION (If different) Computer Sciences Corporation	
CONTRACT/GRANT/INTERAGENCY/PROJECT NUMBER(S) DRR559 - 99-D-00437/A61812D		DOCUMENT NUMBER(S)	DOCUMENT DATE

For presentations, documents, or other STI to be externally published (including through electronic media), enter appropriate information on the intended publication such as name, place, and date of conference, periodical, or journal name, or book title and publisher in the next box. These documents must be routed to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for approval (see Sections III and VIII).



for publication on the
web and NAS Tech
Report

II. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

CHECK ONE (One of the five boxes denoting Security Classification must be checked.)

☐ SECRET ☐ SECRET RD ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ CONFIDENTIAL RD ☒ UNCLASSIFIED

III. AVAILABILITY CATEGORY





<input type="checkbox"/> ITAR <input type="checkbox"/> EAR	Export Controlled Document - USML Category Classification Number (ECCN) (Documents marked in this block must have the concurrence/approval of the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator (see Section VIII).)
<input type="checkbox"/> TRADE SECRET <input type="checkbox"/> SBIR <input type="checkbox"/> COPYRIGHTED	Confidential Commercial Document (check appropriate box at left and indicate below the appropriate limitation and expiration): <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Government agencies and U.S. Government agency contractors only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA contractors and U.S. Government only <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Government agencies only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA personnel and NASA contractors only <input type="checkbox"/> NASA personnel only <input type="checkbox"/> Available only with the approval of issuing office: <input type="checkbox"/> Limited until (date)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLICLY AVAILABLE	Publicly available documents must be unclassified, may not be export controlled, may not contain trade secret or confidential commercial data, and should have cleared any applicable patents application process.

IV. DOCUMENT DISCLOSING AN INVENTION

THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE RELEASED ON (date) 26 Mar 01	NASA HQ OR CENTER PATENT OR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL SIGNATURE 	DATE 26 Mar 01
---	---	--------------------------

V. BLANKET RELEASE (OPTIONAL)

- ☐ All documents issued under the following contract/grant/project number may be processed as checked in Sections II and III.
- ☐ The blanket release authorization granted on (date)
☐ is RESCINDED - Future documents must have individual availability authorizations.
- ☐ is MODIFIED - Limitations for all documents processed in the STI system under the blanket release should be changed to conform to blocks as checked in Sections II and III.

VI. AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR VERIFICATION			
I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THIS PUBLICATION:			
<input type="checkbox"/> DOES contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, and/or discloses an invention for which a patent has been applied, and the appropriate limitation is checked in Sections III and/or IV.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> does NOT contain export controlled, confidential commercial information, nor does it disclose an invention for which a patent has been applied, and may be released as indicated above.			
NAME OF AUTHOR/ORIGINATOR Adrian DeVivo	MAIL CODE T27A-1	SIGNATURE 	DATE 3-01-2001
VII. PROJECT OFFICER/TECHNICAL MONITOR/DIVISION CHIEF REVIEW			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED			
NAME OF PROJECT OFFICER OR TECH. MONITOR Bryan Biegel, INR Branch Chief	MAIL CODE T27A-2	SIGNATURE 	DATE 3/8/01
VIII. EXPORT CONTROL REVIEW/CONFIRMATION			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public release is approved <input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation is not applicable			
<input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation is approved <input type="checkbox"/> Export controlled limitation (ITAR/EAR) marked in Section III is assigned to this document.			
USML CATEGORY NUMBER	CCL ECCN NUMBER	HQ OR CENTER EXPORT CONTROL ADMINISTRATOR (as applicable) 	DATE 3/8/01
IX. PROGRAM OFFICE OR DELEGATED AUTHORITY REVIEW			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED FOR DISTRIBUTION AS MARKED ON REVERSE <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED			
NAME OF PROGRAM OFFICE REPRESENTATIVE Bill F. Fiebert	MAIL CODE 758 J	SIGNATURE 	DATE 3/8/01
X. DISPOSITION			
THIS FORM, WHEN COMPLETED, IS TO BE SENT TO YOUR CENTER PUBLICATIONS OFFICE			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE NASA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY AUTHORIZATION (DAA) FORM

Purpose This DAA form is used to prescribe the availability and distribution of all NASA-generated and NASA-funded documents containing scientific and technical information (including those distributed via electronic media such as the World Wide Web and CD-ROM).

Requirements The author/originator must provide either a suitable summary description (title, abstract, etc.) or a completed copy of the document with this form. This form is initiated by the document author/originator and that individual is responsible for recommending/determining the availability/distribution of the document. The author/originator completes Sections I through III, and VI. The author/originator is also responsible for obtaining information and signature in Section IV to the extent the document discloses an invention for which patent protection has been applied. Subsequent to completion of these sections, the author/originator forwards the document to the appropriate Project Manager/Technical Monitor/Division Chief for further review and approval in Section VII, including a re-review of the planned availability and distribution. Once this approval is obtained, the DAA is forwarded to the NASA Headquarters or Center Export Administrator for completion of Section VIII. It is then forwarded for completion of Section IX to the cognizant NASA Headquarters Program Office or Delegated Authority, who provides final review and approval for release of the document as marked.

When to Use This Form Documents containing STI and intended for presentation or publication (including via electronic media) must be approved in accordance with the NASA STI Procedures and Guidelines (NPG 2200.2). Documents that are to be published in the NASA STI Report Series must be coordinated with the appropriate NASA Headquarters or Center Scientific and Technical Information Office in accordance with NPG 2200.2. Note that information on the Report Documentation Page (if attached) is not to be entered on the DAA except for title, document date, and contract number.

How to Use this Form Specific guidelines for each section of this form are detailed below.

I. Document/Project Identification. Provide the information requested. If the document is classified, provide instead the security classification of the title and abstract. (Classified information must not be entered on this form). Include RTOP numbers on the Contract/Grant/Interagency/Project Number(s) line. Provide information on presentations or externally published documents as applicable.

II. Security Classification. Enter the applicable security classification for the document. Documents, if classified, will be available only to appropriately cleared personnel having a "need to know."

III. Availability Category for Unclassified Documents. Check the appropriate category or categories.

Export Controlled Document. If the document is subject to export restrictions (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.3), the appropriate restriction must be checked, either International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) or Export Administration Regulations (EAR), and the appropriate United States Munitions List (USML) category or Commerce Control List (CCL), Export Control Classification Number (ECCN) must be cited.

Confidential Commercial Documents (Documents containing Trade Secrets, SBIR documents, and/or Copyrighted Information). Check the applicable box (see NPG 2200.2 paragraph 4.5.7). When any of these boxes are checked, also indicate the appropriate limitation and expiration in the list to the right of these restrictions. These limitations refer to the user groups authorized to obtain the document. The limitations apply both to the initial distribution of the documents and the handling of requests for the documents. The limitations will appear on and apply to reproduced copies of the document. Documents limited to NASA personnel should not be made available to onsite contractors. If the Available Only With the Approval of Issuing Office limitation is checked, the NASA Center for Aerospace Information will provide only bibliographic processing and no initial distribution; CASI will refer all document requests to the issuing office.

Publicly Available Document - Unrestricted Distribution. Check this box if the information in the document may be made available to the general public without restrictions (unrestricted domestic and international distribution). If the document is copyrighted (see paragraph 4.5.7.3 in NPG 2200.2), also check the "Copyrighted" box in this section.

IV. Document Disclosing an Invention. This must be completed when the document contains information that discloses an invention (see NPG 2200.2, paragraph 4.5.9). When this box is checked, an additional appropriate availability category must be checked. Use of this category must be approved by NASA Headquarters or Center Patent Counsel or the Intellectual Property Counsel.

V. Blanket Release (Optional). Complete this optional section whenever subsequent documents produced under the contract, grant, or project are to be given the same distribution and/or availability as described in Sections II and III. More than one contract number or RTOP Number can be entered. This section may also be used to rescind or modify an earlier Blanket Release. All blanket releases must be approved by the Program Office or its designee and concurred with by the Office of Management Systems and Facilities.

VI. Author/Originator Verification. Required for all DAA forms.

VII. Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Division Chief Review. The Project Officer/Technical Monitor/Author or Originator Division Chief or above must sign and date the form. The office code and typed name should be entered.

VIII. Export Control Review/Confirmation. This section is to be completed by the authorized NASA Headquarters or Center Export Control Administrator for all documents.

IX. Program Office or Delegated Authority Review. This section is to be completed by the duly authorized official representing the NASA Headquarters Program Office. Any delegation from NASA Headquarters to a NASA Center in accordance with NPG 2200.2 should be entered here.

X. Disposition. For NASA Center use.